PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From :NVE	.he AMATIONAL SEA	RCHING AUTH	ORITY					
. To:				PCT				
see form PCT/ISA/220				WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORIT (PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)				
				Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)				
1	icant's or agent's file form PCT/ISA/2			FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below				
l.	national application TUP2004/005528		International filing date (c 14.04.2004	day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 24.04.2003			
1	International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC H04L9/30							
1	icant TSUSHITA ELE	CTRIC INDUS	TRIAL CO. LTD.					
1.	This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:							
	Box No. I	Basis of the op	piņion					
!	Box No. Ⅱ	Priority -		,				
	Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability							
	☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention							
	☑ Box No. V		ement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> tations and explanations		novelty, inventive step or industrial ement			
Box No. VI Certain documents cited								
☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application								
	☐ Box No. VIII	Certain observ	ations on the internation	al application				
2.	FURTHER ACT	ION						
	If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.							
	If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.							
	For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.							
3.	3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.							
Nam	ne and mailing addre	ss of the ISA:		Authorized Officer	girichel Polonous,			



European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Bec, T

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-7124



JC05 Rec'd PCT/PTO 12 OCT 2005

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/JP2004/005528

10/552586

	Box	No. I	Basis of the opinion			
1.			d to the language , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in ge in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.			
	la	angua	pinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following ge , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).			
2.	With neces	regard ssary 1	to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:			
	a. typ	a. type of material:				
		a se	equence listing			
		tabl	e(s) related to the sequence listing			
	b. for	mat of	f material:			
		in w	vritten format			
		in c	omputer readable form			
	c. tim	c. time of filing/furnishing:				
		con	tained in the international application as filed.			
		filed	together with the international application in computer readable form.			
		furn	sished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.			
3.	h C	nas be copies	tion, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating theretoen filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as riate, were furnished.			
4.	Additi	ional c	comments:			

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/JP2004/005528

_							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		·
_	Во	x No. II	Priority						
1.	Ø	☑ The following document has not been furnished:							
		© copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).					nd 66.7(a)).		
			translation of the	earlier app	lication wh	ose priority ha	as been claime	d (Rule 43 <i>b</i>	is.1 and 66.7(b)).
	Consequently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority clain nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the cl							/ claim. This the claimed	opinion has priority date.
2.	This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.								
3.	3. Additional observations, if necessary:								
						·			
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inverindustrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement								entive step or	
1.	Sta	tement							
	Nov	Novelty (N)		Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-37			
	Inve	Inventive step (IS)		Yes:	Claims				
				No:	Claims	1-37			
	Indi	ustrial a _l	oplicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-37			
2.	Cita	ations ar	nd explanations						
	see	separa	ite sheet						

Re Item I.

- 1 The following document is referred to in this communication:
 - D1: J. SILVERMAN: "WRAPS, GAPS, AND LATTICE CONSTANTS" NTRU CRYPTOSYSTEMS TECHNICAL REPORT, REPORT 11, [Online] 15 March 2001 (2001-03-15), pages 1-6, XP002288211 Retrieved from the Internet: URL:http://www.ntru.com/cryptolab/pdf/NTRU Tech011_v2.pdf>; [retrieved on 2004-07-12]

Re Item V.

- 1) The present set of claims lacks of conciseness as it contains 21 independent claims with overlapping scope within the following groups of claims:
 - 1) encryption system and apparatus 18, 20, 21, 22, 23, 30, 31 and 32,
 - ii) decryption system and apparatus 19, 26, 27 and 35,
 - iii) encryption method 24 and 33,
 - iv) decryption method 28 and 36,
 - v) encryption program 25 and 34,
 - vi) decryption program 29 and 37,

thus the application does not comply with the provision of clarity and conciseness Article 6 PCT.

- 2) None of the independent claims meets the requirements of Article 6 PCT as they define the subject-matter in terms of the result to be achieved without providing technical features to achieve said result:
 - "a parameter generating apparatus or method which has the property that it cause no decryption error for the NTRU".
- For the above stated reasons, no examination as to the novelty can be carried out at this stage of the procedure.
- The present set of claims does not meet the requirements of Article 33(1) and (3) PCT with regard to the inventive step because:

 Document D1 cited by the applicant discloses that if all the coefficient of the
 - polynomial "b=p\psi + mf" (see pages 1 and 2) fall in the range [-q/2, q/2] no

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/005528

decryption error occurs.

In order to have a NTRU system without decryption error, the skilled person will without inventive step add to the generator of polynomials a test to verify the above stated condition before using the polynomials.

Consequently the claimed subject-matter is not inventive.